United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,314	08/28/2003	Harold Katz	KATZ P-101	6905
28752 7590 03/05/2007 LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP			EXAMINER	
LACKENBAC	H SIEGEL BUILDING		DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
1 CHASE ROAD SCARSDALE, NY 10583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>50.</i> 110 <i>5.</i> 12 <i>5</i> ,			3771	
	. •	•	<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/650,314	KATZ, HAROLD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven O. Douglas	3771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHI(- External afternal - If N(- Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	Jaust 2003.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	1) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) 🖂	Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected.					
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 3,7,14 and 18-20 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	/ea.			
Attachmen	at(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/650,314

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification appears to be incomplete since Applicant has failed to complete the underlined portions of the sentences on page 15, last full paragraph; page 17, first paragraph, and page 18, fourth paragraph.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language; or

the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/650,314

Art Unit: 3771

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,2,4,6,8-10,12,13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green'232.

The Green reference discloses a dispensing device comprising a pressurized container 20, a longitudinal tube 52, an exit nozzle 58 with an associated opening 58a, and a cap-type connecting means 30 that facilitates free movement about both x and y axes, wherein all introductory and functional statements of intended use have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structure on the claims distinguishable over the Green device which is further capable of being used a combined tongue depressor and oral spray mechanism if one would so desire.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green'232 in view of Margulis'936.

The Green reference discloses a spray-type dispensing apparatus (supra), but does not disclose the nozzle as including a plurality of openings. The Margulis reference discloses another spray-type dispensing apparatus having a plurality of nozzles (20b-20d) useable

Application/Control Number: 10/650,314

Art Unit: 3771

therewith where each nozzle includes a plurality of openings to achieve a desired spray effect. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the nozzle of Green to have a plurality of openings in view of the implicit teachings of the Margulis reference to achieve a desired spray effect.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green'232.

The Green reference discloses a dispensing apparatus (supra), but does not disclose the longitudinal tube as being approximately three inches. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the longitudinal tube approximately three inches, since it has been held that discovering an optimum valve of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Williams and Poole (see telescoping longitudinal tube 148) references pertain to inhalation devices with associated tongue depressing features, and the Meshberg and Oursin et al. references pertain to spray-type dispensers with associated rotatable longitudinal tubes.

Claims 3,7,14 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272/1000.

Steven O. Douglas
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3771

SD

2-22-07